BSP Newsletter

2025 April edition

FINE-TUNED
LEGAL ADVICE
MADE IN
LUXEMBOURG

Right by you in Luxembourg

SUMMARY

LUXEMBOURG STOCK EXCHANGE | RECENT UPDATES TO THE RULES & REGULATIONS

The Luxembourg Stock Exchange (“**LuxSE**”) has recently published two sets of updates to its Rules & Regulations (“**R&R**”)

January 2025 Update – aligning with the Listing Act

On 9 January 2025, LuxSE published revised R&R to reflect recent amendments to the Prospectus Regulation introduced by the **EU Listing Act (**adopted on 14 November 2024). While several amendments will only enter into force in 2026, the following have been applicable since **4 December 2024**:

Increased threshold for exemption for fungible securities

Previously, the exemption from publishing a prospectus applied to securities fungible with those already admitted to trading, provided the newly issued amount did not exceed **20%** of existing securities over 12 months. The threshold has now been raised to **30%**, as reflected in **Rule 203.3.2** of the LuxSE R&R.

Expanded exemption for non-equity securities issued by credit institutions

Credit institutions were formerly exempt from prospectus requirements when issuing non-equity securities in a continuous or repeated manner, provided the total issuance over 12 months did not exceed **EUR 75 million**. This limit has now been increased to **EUR 150 million** (see **Rule 203.3.9** of the LuxSE R&R).

March 2025 Update - launch of Euro MTF Specialist Securities Segment and clarifications on FastLane and Third-Country Equivalent Markets

On 20 March 2025, LuxSE published further revised R&R introducing enhancements to its listing framework

With this latest update, the LuxSE unveiled a new segment on its Euro MTF market, known as the Euro MTF Specialist Securities Segment ("**EM3S**"). This new platform is tailored for specific financial instruments and carefully navigates the interplay between investor protection and certain issuers' requirements for safeguarding sensitive details about their financial products and investment approaches.

EM3S offers issuers the ability to safeguard sensitive details – such as investment strategies and payout structures – while remaining fully compliant with regulatory standards. The key features of this segment include:

- **No public disclosure of listing documents**
- **Minimal mandatory information displayed online**
- **No prospectus approval required**

Only professional investors are permitted to access securities listed under EM3S, with retail investors strictly excluded. Issuers have complete control over who can review additional documentation, ensuring confidentiality is upheld. Despite its unique features, EM3S adheres to the same ongoing responsibilities and fee structure as the traditional Euro MTF market.

The admission process is designed to be fast and efficient with issuers only being required to submit an **admission form** and a **letter of undertaking**; the approval process being typically only 2-3 days.

Once admitted, only essential information required under MiFID will be displayed on the LuxSE website.

EM3S is governed by Rule 402 in Chapter 4 of Part 2 of the R&R, with new definitions added to Part 0. Structural updates and renumbering were made across relevant chapters.

LuxSE also has introduced notable **improvements to its FastLane admission framework**, simplifying the listing process for debt securities on the Euro MTF market. Under this refined system, issuers with shares already traded on an EU-regulated market or an equivalent third-country market are exempted from undergoing formal prospectus approval, streamlining access to the market.

Finally, the updated R&R now clearly distinguish **LuxSE’s equivalence evaluations from those conducted by external authorities**, such as the European Commission. LuxSE independently carries out these assessments, within the applicable national and European legal frameworks.

FastLane and equivalence assessment updates include amendments to Rule 203.2.11, Rule 401.11, and Appendices III, VI, and VIII of the R&R.

The most recent R&R are available on the LuxSE website here.

GENDER BALANCED BOARDS | LUXEMBOURG MOVES TO IMPLEMENT "WOMEN ON BOARDS" DIRECTIVE

The long-awaited transposition into Luxembourg law of Directive (EU) 2022/2381 on improving the gender balance among directors of listed companies and related measures (the "**Directive**") is now on track. Draft law No.8519 setting a quantitative target for gender balance among directors of listed companies (the "**Draft Law**") was submitted to the Luxembourg Parliament (*Chambre des Députés*) on 28 March 2025.

For further insights into the Directive, refer to our 2023 Newsletter.

This legislative proposal establishes binding requirements to ensure gender balance within the boards of directors of listed companies. It also outlines measures for compliance, reporting, and enforcement.

Scope and Objectives

The Draft Law shall apply to all companies whose registered office is in Luxembourg and whose shares are admitted to trading on a regulated market in one or more EU Member States. However, in alignment with the Directive, the Draft Law excludes from its scope listed companies that qualify as micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises ("**SMEs**").

One of the key objectives of the Directive is faithfully mirrored in the Draft Law by introducing a **minimum requirement**: **at least 33% of board positions**, both executive and non-executive, must be held by the under-represented gender **by 30 June 2026**.

The Luxembourg angle

While largely aligned with the Directive, companies should be aware of several Luxembourg specific elements introduced by the Draft Law:

Supervisory authority

The CSSF shall be designated as the competent authority, tasked with overseeing compliance, collecting data, and publishing an annual list of companies that meet the target.

Procedural adjustments

Where companies fall short of the target, they must **adapt their director selection procedures. Clear and neutral criteria** must be applied and documented during the selection process, with preference given to equally qualified candidates from the under-represented gender—unless objective diversity-related or legal considerations justify otherwise.

Candidate rights

Candidates involved in the selection process may request access to the evaluation criteria used and any factors that influenced the final appointment decision.

Public reporting

Companies shall be required to **report annually** on gender representation. This data must be **disclosed to the CSSF**, published on their **websites**, and, where relevant, included in their **corporate governance statements**. After the Draft Law enters into force, the CSSF will submit a **report** on its application to the **Luxembourg government every two years**, starting on **1 December 2025**. This report will subsequently be forwarded to the **European Commission**, as mandated by the Directive.

Coordination with equality authorities

The **gender equality observatory**, established under the Law of 7 November 2024, will work alongside the CSSF to monitor progress and promote best practices.

The Draft Law will enter into force upon its official publication and shall expire on **31 December 2038**.

Enforcement

The CSSF shall be granted robust supervisory and enforcement powers, including the authority to issue warnings and reprimands, to publish public statements identifying non-compliant companies, and to impose **administrative fines** of up to **EUR 250,000;** additionally periodic penalty payments may be levied on companies that repeatedly fail to comply with the obligations (up to **EUR 1,250** per day; capped at **EUR 25,000**).

What's Next?

As the Draft Law progresses through Luxembourg's legislative process—its timeline contingent on the speed and degree of consensus among stakeholders—companies which will fall within its scope are encouraged to take proactive steps in anticipation of its entry into force.

On this basis, listed companies can already start conducting a **gap analysis** to evaluate current board gender representation; review and formalize **director selection policies**, ensuring alignment with the transparency and fairness standards set by the Draft Law; prepare internal processes for **reporting obligations** and consider developing or refreshing a broader **diversity policy**.

MICA | RECENT ESMA DEVELOPMENTS

Since our last newsletter, several publications by ESMA have provided further clarity on various aspects of the Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation ("**MiCA Regulation**" or "**MiCAR**").

Public statement on crypto services for non-Mica ARTs and EMTs

On 17 January 2025, ESMA published a public statement addressing the provision of certain crypto-asset services in relation to non-MiCA compliant asset-referenced tokens ("**ARTs**") and electronic money tokens ("**EMTs**"). In its statement, ESMA urged crypto-asset service providers ("**CASPs**") to comply with MiCAR, which took effect on 30 June 2024. The regulation requires CASPs to cease providing services that amount to offering to the public or seeking admission to trading of non-MiCA compliant ARTs and EMTs in the EU. ESMA emphasised that the cessation of such services had to be finalised by the end of January 2025. To assist EU investors in liquidating or converting their holdings in non-MiCA compliant ARTs and EMTs, CASPs have been granted an extended period—until the end of Q1 2025—to continue offering services for these products on a "sell-only" basis.

The statement also underscores the importance of clear communication with investors and a coordinated transition to preserve market stability. Additionally, ESMA highlighted its appreciation for the European Commission's Q&A, which clarified which crypto-asset services within the EU may qualify as either public offerings or admissions to trading, in accordance with Articles 16(1) and 48(1) of MiCAR.

Opinion on MiCA's RTS on conflicts of interest for CASPs

On 24 January 2025, ESMA published an opinion on regulatory technical standards ("**RTS**") outlining specific requirements regarding conflicts of interest for CASPs under MiCAR. The opinion addresses ESMA's feedback on the European Commission's proposed amendments to the RTS under Article 72(5) of MiCA. While ESMA recognises the importance of striking a balance between investor protection, financial stability, and innovation, it has suggested modifications to the Commission's proposed amendments.

To reflect these changes, ESMA submitted a revised draft, which is elaborated upon in the opinion and its annex. Moving forward, the European Commission may choose to adopt the RTS with amendments it deems appropriate or reject the revised draft altogether. Additionally, the European Parliament and the Council hold the right to object to any RTS adopted by the Commission within a three-month window.

Supervisory briefing on authorisation of CASP's under MiCA

On 31 January 2025, ESMA released a shortened version of its supervisory briefing to assist market participants in navigating MiCA authorisations. The briefing aims to ensure that national competent authorities ("**NCAs**") apply MiCA requirements consistently when authorising CASPs. It advocates a risk-based approach, urging NCAs to subject higher-risk CASPs to stricter scrutiny. Moreover, it provides detailed guidance on assessing governance structures, organisational substance, and outsourcing arrangements to ensure alignment with MiCA and related frameworks, such as DORA. The briefing also includes recommendations for evaluating the business plans of prospective CASPs.

Recent ESMA guidelines

On 26 February 2025, ESMA published the following guidelines, which shall apply 60 calendar days from the date of their publication on ESMA's website in all official EU languages :

- guidelines on the procedures and policies, including the rights of clients, in the context of transfer services for crypto-assets under MiCA on investor protection. These guidelines apply to competent authorities and, CASPs that act as providers of transfer services for crypto-assets on behalf of clients within the meaning of Article 3 (1)(26) of MiCA. Developed by ESMA in collaboration with the EBA, these guidelines aim to ensure consistent and effective supervision under Article 82 of MiCA. They clarify the requirements for CASPs offering transfer services, focusing on procedures, client rights, and policies. By doing so, ESMA seeks to enhance investor protection. The guidelines also align with relevant rules under PSD2, particularly for electronic money tokens (“**EMTs**”)

- guidelines on the specification of Union standards for the maintenance of systems and security access protocols for offerors and persons seeking admission to trading of crypto-assets other than ARTs and EMTs. The guidelines apply to competent authorities and to ‘offerors’ as defined in Article 3(1)(13) of MiCA and persons seeking admission to trading of crypto-assets other than ARTs or EMTs. Also developed with the EBA, these guidelines set EU standards for offerors and those seeking trading admission under Article 14(1)(d) of MiCA. They outline requirements for maintaining secure systems, access protocols, and related policies. They aim to ensure a consistent interpretation and application of MiCA provisions across the Union.
- guidelines on situations in which a third-country firm is deemed to solicit clients established or situated in the EU and the supervision practices to detect and prevent circumvention of the reverse solicitation exemption under MiCA. They apply to competent authorities, and as regards Section 5, third-country firms. Based on Article 61(3) of MiCA, these guidelines aim to ensure uniform and effective supervision across the EU. They clarify when a third-country firm is considered to be soliciting EU clients and promote consistent regulatory approaches. They outline supervisory practices to detect and prevent the misuse of Article 61, helping to prevent circumvention of MiCA rules.

INSTANT CREDIT TRANSFERS | LUXEMBOURG LAW ENTERS INTO FORCE

The law of 4 April 2025 on credit instant payments entered into force on the 11 April 2025. It implements the Regulation (EU) 2024/886 as regards instant credit transfers in euro (the "**ICT Regulation**") which aims at making **instant payments fully available in euro** to consumers and businesses across the EU.

The Draft Law, which amends Payment Services Law of 10 November 2024 (the "**Payment Services Law**") was adopted without any substantial amendments to the version we reported on in our January 2025 Newsletter.

The CSSF has helpfully already published a consolidated version of the Payment Services Law which is available here.



OMNIBUS PACKAGE | CHANGES TO THE CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY DUE DILIGENCE DIRECTIVE

Background

On 26 February 2025, the European Commission published the proposal for the Omnibus Simplification Package, which aims to simplify EU rules, reduce red tape, and unlock additional investment capacity.

Among the changes proposed is the amendment to Directive (EU) 2024/1760 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024 on corporate sustainability due diligence and amending Directive (EU) 2019/1937 and Regulation (EU) 2023/2859 (also known as the Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive or "CSDDD"), focusing on balancing sustainability objectives with competitiveness and reducing compliance burdens while maintaining effective corporate responsibility mechanisms.

The Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive

The **CSDDD** entered into force on 25 July 2024 to ensure that companies operating in the EU market take responsibility for identifying and mitigating adverse human rights and environmental impacts in their operations and supply chains. The directive introduced mandatory due diligence obligations, requiring companies to implement governance mechanisms, risk assessments, and remediation measures.

The key due diligence obligations for companies involve identifying adverse human rights and environmental impacts within their own operations and those of their subsidiaries and business partners throughout the activity chain.

Business and industry associations expressed some concerns about the CSDDD due to its complexity, implied costs, and legal uncertainties.

See also our previous newsletter.

Changes introduced in the Proposal and impacts on companies

The core revisions to the CSDDD proposed by the Commission in the Omnibus package aim to ease the regulatory burden, clarify legal obligations, and align due diligence responsibilities with practical business considerations.

- The proposal limits the scope of the due diligence obligations to lift the communication burden on small and medium businesses (SMEs). It limits the amount of information that large companies may request as part of the value chain mapping, specifying that large companies should limit information requests unless they need additional information and cannot obtain that information in any other reasonable way (Recital 22 and Article 4, par. 3).
- It simplifies the assessment obligations. The amendment states that due diligence requirements should, as a general rule, be limited to a company's operations, subsidiaries, and direct business partners. This means that companies will only be required to conduct in-depth assessments of **direct** business partners unless they obtain plausible information suggesting an adverse impact at the level of an **indirect** business partner (Article 4, par. 4).
- It also limits the frequency of periodic monitoring, extending the intervals in which companies need to regularly assess the adequacy and effectiveness of due diligence measures from one year to five years (Article 4, par. 8).
- Another essential change is the proposal's streamlined definition of stakeholders and limitation of due diligence steps to ensure engagement is focused only on relevant stakeholders. These are specifically identified as workers, individuals, and communities whose rights or interests are or could be **directly** affected by the company's products, services and operations, subsidiaries and business partners (Article 4, par. 2).
- Measures are developed to reduce legal uncertainty and address business concerns about excessive litigation risks. Notably, the proposal tasks the EU Commission with developing fining guidelines in collaboration with the Member States and prohibits Member States from setting a fines cap that would limit the flexibility of supervisory authorities for the imposition of penalties (Article 4, par. 11). Furthermore, the proposal removes the EU-wide civil liability regime in the CSDDD. Instead, it relies on existing national liability laws, ensuring companies remain accountable under domestic frameworks. It also eliminates provisions on representative actions

and overrides mandatory application while maintaining victims' right to full compensation if a company's due diligence failure causes harm (Article 4, par. 12).

Finally, the proposal grants companies additional time to prepare for compliance with the new requirements by delaying the application of CSDDD obligations for large companies by one year—until 26 July 2028. At the same time, it advances the deadline for the EU Commission to adopt guidelines by one year—to July 2026.

The proposal will have significant practical and financial implications for businesses, as it will foreseeably lower compliance costs, as companies will no longer need to conduct annual full-scale due diligence or maintain extensive engagement with indirect suppliers and improve flexibility in Supply Chain Management.

Critics

Although the European Commission has presented the proposal as a successful way to balance sustainability with business flexibility, some have voiced concerns. Climate advocates and policymakers argue that, instead of enhancing clarity, the proposal could undermine fundamental aspects of these key pillars of the Green Deal and responsible business practices.

CASE LAW I ARTICLE 10 OF THE BUSINESS PRESERVATION LAW OF 2023

Article 10 of the Business Preservation Law

The law of 7 August 2023 on the preservation of businesses and the modernisation of bankruptcy law (the "**Business Preservation Law**"), in Article 10, provides for the appointment of a judicial representative (*mandataires de justice*) when serious and proven breaches by the debtor or its management threaten the continuity of the business, provided that the requested measure is likely to safeguard it.

The Luxembourg District Court, upon request of the Public Prosecutor or any interested party, may appoint one or more judicial representatives, chosen from the list of sworn experts. The court order appointing the judicial representative must detail the scope and duration of his mission.

It should be noted that the opening of judicial reorganisation proceedings does not automatically terminate the judicial representative's mission. Instead, the court will determine, either in the judgment opening the reorganisation or in a subsequent decision, whether the mission should continue, be modified, or be terminated. Finally, an *ad hoc* evaluation must also be carried out in the event that a conciliator has been already appointed.

Factual background

In the case at hand, the court had previously invited the parties to take a position on the decisions adopted by the board of directors regarding possible measures to be taken pursuant to Article 10 of the Business Preservation Law concerning the appointment of a judicial representative.

The defendant, a Luxembourg public limited company, argued that it had undertaken a series of measures to improve its financial situation, making the request for a judicial representative unfounded. It further asserted that two independent directors had been appointed by the general meeting of shareholders and had subsequently accepted their mandates. The company also presented a financial plan, including credit facilities, assets, and operations aimed at repaying its debts and improving its financial stability to ensure its continued presence on the market.

Conversely, the claimant, a company administrator, firmly contested the defendant's position arguing that the independent directors' appointment was not published on the Luxembourg Trade and Company Register, making their acceptance unclear. Moreover, he questioned the impartiality of one director, due to their close ties with the company.

Additionally, the claimant challenged the financial plan, citing the company's failure to lift asset attachments and alleging irregularities in the 2022 accounts, as well as insufficient liquidity. He further claimed that conflict of interest had impaired the company's ability to act properly, with no mitigating measures taken. As a result, he requested the court to appoint a judicial representative to restore the company's financial viability.

Key takeaways from the decision

In order No. 2025TALCH02/00210, issued on 31 January 2025, the President of the District Court stepped in to address a governance crisis that had brought the company's decision-making to a standstill. Internal opposition within the board had led to paralysis, with no concrete steps taken to resolve the company's financial troubles.

Recognising this deadlock as a direct threat to the company's survival, the court opted for a balanced solution: appointing a judicial representative – not to take control, but to mediate conflicts, ensure necessary measures were implemented, and protect the interests of all parties. The Court emphasised that the representative's role should be one of "targeted and reasoned interventions" rather than active participation in the company's daily management, rejecting the claimant's request to grant the judicial representative veto powers as excessive.

The appointment was set for one-year term, with the possibility of extension or adjustment depending on the evolution of the company's situation. This decision underscores the Court's focus on preserving stability without overstepping into corporate governance.

Conclusive remarks

The Business Preservation Law expands the ability to request the appointment of a judicial representative, no longer limiting it to shareholders or directors in urgent circumstances. Now, any party with a legitimate interest can apply if serious misconduct by the company's management threatens its survival – provided the measure could help preserve business continuity.

In this case, ongoing financial mismanagement and internal conflicts within the board of directors justified the appointment of a judicial representative. Crucially, the ruling clarified that the representative's role is not to take over management but to intervene strategically to address specific issues, ensuring the company's stability while respecting its governance.

SIMPLIFIED PROCEDURE FOR THE CREATION OF NEW SHARE CLASSES

On 12 February 2025, the **CSSF** introduced a **new simplified procedure** for creating **new share classes** that do **not require a prospectus update**. This applies to **UCITS, UCI Part II, SIFs, and SICARs**.

The **key points** include that**:**

- The procedure is available **only for share classes whose characteristics are already defined** in the current prospectus.
- Submissions must follow the **dedicated form** and include a **standardised table** with all relevant details.

The form requires the fund to confirm that the share class adheres to certain requirements including that the management body of the fund has approved the share class, that the prospectus defines all of the characteristic of the share class, for UCITS that the new share class complies with ESMA's guidelines on share classes and if applicable, that a PRIIPs KID will be transmitted to the CSSF in due course.

This change aims to **streamline approvals** while maintaining regulatory clarity. Fund managers should ensure compliance with the outlined requirements when submitting new share class requests.

FUND PROSPECTUS | EVOLUTION IN THE ELECTRONIC VISA "STAMP" PROCEDURE

Starting April 2025, the CSSF has introduced a new e-Identification system to replace the current VISA procedure for fund prospectuses, including UCITS, Part II UCIs, SICARs, and SIFs.
The key changes include:

- Each prospectus will receive a **unique identification number** and an **e-Identification date**, both displayed on the first page.
- Submissions for new or revised prospectuses will go through the **eDesk e-Identification Prospectus application**.
- Certain amendments **will no longer require prior CSSF approval**, as outlined in a dedicated guide available via **eDesk** (the "**Guide**"), including among others (i) additional share class(es) set up but not registered with the CSSF excluding class(es) with restrictions in distribution or ETF share classes, (ii) amendments to the initiator in the prospectus (iii) increases, decreases of fees or changes to the fee structure, and (iv) not-material changes to an existing fund or sub-fund.

In other words, this means that the **administrative process will be streamlined**, reducing approval delays for non-critical changes. The CSSF may still however conduct **ex-post reviews** of changes that were not pre-approved. Additionally, the governing body of each fund will bear **greater responsibility for compliance**.
To increase operational efficiency, the process supports automation via API **(S3)**.
The **Guide which was released on 20 March 2025** also includes a technical part facilitating IT and operational implementation. This modernisation aims to improve efficiency while upholding **strong investor protection standards**.

UNREGULATED AIFS I AED REINFORCES AML/CFT FRAMEWORK WITH NEW REPORTING DUTIES

The *Administration de l'enregistrement, des domaines et de la TVA* ("**AED"**) in Luxembourg launched a campaign on 25 February 2025 inviting all alternative investment funds ("**AIFs"**) to submit certain documentation in order to allow the AED to carry out its AML oversight obligations. Certain of the reporting is new for unregulated AIFs, having previously only applied to Reserved Alternative Investment Funds ("**RAIFs"**).

Identification forms

Every AIF subject to the supervision of the AED is obliged to appoint a *responsable du respect des obligations* ("**RR"**) and a *responsible du contrôle* ("**RC"**) and to inform the AED of same. As such an identification form for the appointment of the RR and of the RC must be submitted, in the form available on the AED's website, either when first appointed or when one of them changes.

Annual RC report and annual questionnaire

All unregulated AIFs must now submit an annual RC Report and a comprehensive AML/CFT Questionnaire, the latter to be completed by the RR. However, the RR may mandate the RC of the RAIF to submit the questionnaire.

The AED has outlined the essential elements to be included in the RC Report for both a RAIF and an unregulated AIF.

The AML/CFT Questionnaire, for its part, covers a wide range of topics including the AML risks to which the AIF is exposed, what mitigation measures are in place and a separate section on terrorist financing exposure and mitigating measures.

Timing

The AED has introduced distinct and official deadlines for RAIFs and other unregulated AIFs.

The official submission deadlines for both the RC Report and the AML/CFT Questionnaire are 31 May 2025 for RAIFs and 30 June 2025 for other unregulated AIFs. Delays may result in administrative fines.

TAX MEASURES IN FAVOUR OF THE REAL ESTATE SECTOR | SIX MONTH EXTENSION

The law of 22 May 2024 provided for several short term tax measures (applicable for fiscal year 2024) in favour of the Luxembourg real estate market as well as long term tax measures applicable as from 2025 (see our previous newsflash). To support the ongoing recovery of the real estate sector, the law of 4 April 2025 extends the temporary tax measures until 30 June 2025.

Temporary tax measures extended until 30 June 2025

The following measures initially applicable only for fiscal year 2024 are extended until 30 June 2025:

- The **allowance for registration and transcription duties for the acquisition of the main residence ("*Bëllegen Akt*")** is increased from EUR 30,000 allowance to EUR 40,000 for each individual and applicable to transactions taking place between 1st January 2024 and 30 June 2025.
- **Allowance for registration and transcription duties for investment in rental properties by individuals:** this allowance is dedicated to investments in rental properties sold in future state of completion (*VEFA*) and amounts to EUR 20,000 per individual. Taxpayers having entered into an eligible transaction between 1st January 2025 and the law's publication in the Luxembourg Official Gazette (7 April 2025), must address a written request to the tax collector and sign a commitment to comply with relevant conditions.
- **Reduced tax rate for capital gains on Luxembourg real estate realised until 30 June 2025:** capital gains realized by individuals in the context of the management of their private assets on Luxembourg real estate held for more than two years will be subject to a quarter of the global rate applicable to the taxpayer instead of half the global rate.
- **Roll-over of real estate capital gains:** individuals realizing real estate capital gains at least 2 years after the asset's acquisition will be granted a rollover relief if proceeds are reinvested in real estate rented under the condition of Article 49 of the law of 7 August 2023 (i.e., social rental) or in real estate falling within the A+ class for energy performance, thermal insulation and environmental performance as defined in the Grand-Ducal Decree of 9 June 2021. For capital gains realized between 1 January 2024 and 31 December 2024, the reinvestment must take place during fiscal year 2026 at the latest and for capital gains realized between 1 January 2025 and 30 June 2025, the reinvestment must take place during fiscal year 2027 at the latest.
- **The special deduction for rental income derived from real estate acquired in future state of completion** (*VEFA*) consisting in special deduction corresponding to a 4% deemed amortisation of the real estate asset on the same basis as the existing 2% amortisation for rented buildings is extended to VEFAs signed between 1 January 2024 and 30 June 2025. The measure is relevant for taxpayers realizing rental income under Article 10 (7) of the Luxembourg income tax law. In addition, the Luxembourg tax authorities issued circular 129f/1 on 20 January 2025 clarifying the functioning of the mechanism.

As a result of these amendments, the application period of the above measures ends on 30 June 2025 together with the 50% reduction of the taxable basis for registration and transcription duties applicable to real estate acquisitions voted in the 2025 budget law (see our previous newsflash).

Adjustment to long term tax measures

The law of 22 May 2024 provided for an increase of the holding period to determine the tax regime applicable to real estate capital gains from 2 to 5 years as from 1 January 2025. The law of 4 April 2025 postponed the application date of this change to 1st July 2025. This measure is relevant for individual taxpayers acting within the management of their private wealth (i.e., acting outside of an enterprise).

START-UP INVESTMENTS | TAX CREDIT BY INDIVIDUALS

On 4 April 2025, Draft Law No. 8526 was submited to the Luxembourg Parliament (*Chambre des Députés*) and intends to introduce, as from fiscal year 2026, a tax credit for private individuals investing in Luxembourg startups amounting to 20% of their equity investment.

The measure is designed to ease early-stage financing of innovative startups by private individuals.

Background to the proposal

The proposal implements part of the 2023-2028 governmental coalition program to enhance the economic environment for startups. Already in 2022, the Ministry of Finance commissioned a report assessing the Luxembourg start-up ecosystem and found that early-stage financing is key for a start-up success. As observed by the government, such measure aligns with the findings of the 2024 Draghi report ("The future of European competitiveness") that the lack of early-stage financing pushes EU startups to transfer their activity outside of the EU and more recently the EU Commission communication of January 2025 ("A competitiveness Compass for the EU") calling for an improvement of the European framework regarding the funding of innovative startups.

Eligible taxpayers

The tax credit will be available to Luxembourg resident individuals and non-resident individuals taxable in Luxembourg under the assimilation regime. The taxpayer must act in the context of the management of its private wealth, thus excluding investments through an enterprise. Employees and founder of the startup entity are excluded from the measure.

Eligible startups

Form and tax regime

A fully taxable Luxembourg resident company or a company resident within the European Economic Area subject to a corporate tax equivalent to the Luxembourg corporate income tax and engaged in innovative activities through a Luxembourg permanent establishment.

Newly formed

At the end of the fiscal year for which the tax credit is requested, the startup is in existence for less than 5 years.

Size

It has less than 50 employees and either its total balance sheet or its turnover does not exceed 10 million.

Group membership

When the startup entity is part of a group, the condition pertaining to the size must be assessed at the level of the group and its fulfilment be certified by a chartered accountant or an auditor. Each entity of the group must have been formed since less than 5 years. There is a group when the start-up entity has at least one associated enterprise. The latter being another entity, alone or with other associated enterprises, (i) with a relationship of at least 25% in terms of capital or voting rights, (ii) holding or controlling (through an agreement) the majority of the voting rights, (iii) having the power to designate or remove the majority of the management, or (iv) has a significant influence on the management of another entity through a contract or statutory provision.

Innovative activity

The start-up must be engaged in an innovative activity. This requirement is met when the entity has at least two persons working full-time (not necessarily employees, managers/directors are included but external contractors are excluded) and during at least one of the three financial years preceding the investment, at least 15% of the entity's operating expenses were dedicated to research and development (condition to be realized within the first year if the investment takes place the year of formation). Eligible expenses do not include subcontracted activities. An auditor or chartered accountant must certify the second condition is met.
R&D and relevant expenses: R&D is broadly defined as work to systematically increase knowledge, and use this knowledge to develop new applications, whether for products, services, processes, methods or organizations. This definition is aligned with the one used in Draft Law 8314 intending to introduce subsidies (capital or loans) for R&D and innovative

activities. **Relevant expenses** include remuneration of staff allocated to R&D activities and any material used for such activities.

The Draft Law also lists **excluded startup entities** which comprises law firms, audit firms, entities active in the real estate sector, venture capital companies under the law of 15 June 2004, entities with listed securities, entities formed upon a tax neutral merger or demerger, entities having distributed dividends or proceeded to a share capital reduction since incorporation (unless to absorb losses) and entities required to repay State aid under EU legislation.

Investment by the taxpayer

Form and timing

The investment must be in in cash and take place upon formation or during a subsequent share capital increase. Subscription and payment must take place the same year.

Minimum/maximum investment

The taxpayer must invest at least EUR 10 000 per startup entity. When the participation reaches 30% of the share capital of the startup, additional investments are not eligible.

Maximum capital raised from eligible taxpayers amounts to EUR 1 500 000 and additional investors cannot benefit from the tax credit.

Direct holding

The taxpayer must hold the relevant shares directly excluding any indirect holding (even through tax transparent entities).

Minimum period

The taxpayer commits to hold the shares in the share capital of the startup for at least 3 years starting as from the end of the fiscal year for which the tax credit is requested. The holding period is annually documented in the tax returns with retroactive adjustment if it is not met (certain exceptions apply, startup bankruptcy, taxpayer's disability).

Request for the tax credit

The tax credit amounts to 20% of the invested amount (capital and share premium). The maximum tax credit granted for one fiscal year amounts to EUR 100 000 and unused amount is carried forward to subsequent fiscal year. It is non-refundable.

For taxpayers under joint taxation investing in startups, the conditions are to be analysed separately.

The request must take place through a tax return and taxpayers not subject to mandatory tax returns filing will be required to file a tax return for the three years following the granting of the tax credit.

Documentary evidence to be attached to the tax return include (i) a certificate issued by the startup confirming the paid-up capital within two months after the issuance, the percentage held by the taxpayer and the capital subscription by taxpayers eligible to the tax credit and (ii) a certificate issued by the startup entity confirming its eligibility.

TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES I REDUCTION OF THE FLAT-RATE TAX ON THE REMUNERATION PAID

Pursuant to Article 137, paragraph 5a of the amended law of 4 December 1967 on income tax (the “**LITL**”), and by way of derogation from the normal taxation system, remuneration paid by temporary employment contractors under an assignment contract to temporary employees whose agreed gross hourly wage does not exceed the amount of EUR 25 is taxed at a flat rate.

The flat-rate tax was previously set at 10% of the difference between, on the one hand, the gross amount of the remuneration taxable in Luxembourg and, on the other hand, the social security contributions referred to in Article 110, number 1 of the LITL on the part of the remuneration taxable in Luxembourg.

By Grand Ducal Decree dated 11 March 2025 amending the Grand Ducal Decree dated 17 December 2021 implementing article 137, paragraph 5a and article 143, paragraph 1 of LITL, the flat-rate tax has been reduced from 10% to 7.5%.

The flat-rate reduction is effective from the 2025 tax year.

CBCR I UPDATE OF THE LIST OF REPORTABLE JURISDICTIONS

On 3 March 2025, the government issued a draft Grand-Ducal Decree amending the existing Grand Ducal-Decree of 13 February 2018 implementing Article 4, paragraph 2, of the law of 23 December 2016 on Country-by-Country Reporting ("**CbCR**"), the purpose of which is to update the list of 'Reportable Jurisdictions' for CbCR to be submitted by multinational enterprise ("**MNE**").

The draft has added the following jurisdictions to the list of Reportable Jurisdictions, effective from the respective fiscal years:

- **Kenya** – for fiscal years beginning on or after 1 January 2023
- **Albania**, **Aruba**, and **Ukraine** on or after 1 January 2024
- **Belize, Curaçao**, and **Georgia** – on or after 1 January 2025
- **Armenia** – on or after 1 January 2026.

As a reminder, according to the Article 4 of the law of 23 December 2016 on Country-by-Country Reporting (*the law transposing Council Directive (EU) 2016/881 of 25 May 2016 amending Directive 2011/16/EU as regards the automatic and mandatory exchange of information in the field of taxation and concerning CbCR rules for multinational enterprise groups),* the Luxembourg Tax Authorities shall automatically exchange the CbCR, within 15 months from the last day of the reporting fiscal year.

This exchange must be carried out with any reportable jurisdiction in which, based on the information contained in the CbCR, one or more 'Constituent Entities' of the MNE of the 'Reporting Entity' are either resident for tax purposes or subject to tax with respect to the business carried out through a permanent establishment.

TAX CREDITS I LUXEMBOURG TAX ADMINISTRATION PUBLISHES THREE NEW CIRCULARS

On 12 March 2025, the Luxembourg Tax Administration released three new circulars detailing updates to tax credits for employees, pensioners, and self-employed individuals. These updates aim to clarify the application of existing credits and incorporate the recently introduced CO2 tax credits effective from the 2024 tax year.

1. Circular L.I.R. 154quater/1 – Employees

Employers are now required to calculate both the standard tax credit for employees (*CIS*) and the new CO2 tax credit for employees (*CI-CO2 salarié*) with each payroll allocation. These credits are applicable only if the annual gross salary remains below EUR 80,000. Employers must regularise the amounts at year-end. From 2025, the maximum *CI-CO2 salarié* is EUR 192/year, while the *CIS* is capped at EUR 600/year.

2. Circular L.I.R. 154quinquies/1 – Pensioners

This circular outlines how pension institutions should apply the standard pensioner credit (*CIP*) and the new CO2 tax credit for pensioners (*CI-CO2 pensionné*). Similar to employees, eligibility ceases beyond EUR 80,000 gross annual pension income. The *CI-CO2 pensionné* is limited to EUR 192/year from 2025, with the *CIP* capped at EUR 600/year.

3. Circular L.I.R. 152ter/1, 154quater/2, 154quinquies/2 – Annual assessment procedures

This joint circular explains how these tax credits should be handled during annual tax assessments, especially in cases involving multiple income types (e.g. employment, self-employment, pensions). The circular clarifies that credits cannot be combined across

SINGLE-PARENT TAX CREDIT I NEW CIRCULAR

On 26 February 2025, the Luxembourg Direct Tax Authorities issued a new Circular L.I.R. No. 154*ter*/1, replacing Circular L.I.R. No. 154*ter*/1 dated 24 May 2023, on single-parent tax credit (CIM) provided for in Article 154*ter* of the Luxembourg income tax law ("**LITL**").

As from the 2025 tax year, the formulas for the determination of the amount of the CIM have been adapted and the maximum amount of the CIM has been increased, while the conditions for granting the CIM remain unchanged.

Entitled taxpayers

Those eligible for the CIM are unmarried taxpayers who:

- are classified in tax class 1a;
- raise one or more children in their household for whom they receive a child tax reduction; and
- do not share a common residence with the other parent of the child.

The CIM expires when the taxpayer is no longer classified as single or does not meet the eligibility criteria.

Amount of the CIM

Since the 2017 tax year, the CIM has been determined based on the adjusted taxable income realised by the eligible taxpayer.

From the 2025 tax year, the CIM amounts to:

- EUR 3,504 per year if the taxpayer's adjusted taxable income is less than EUR 60,000;
- If the taxpayer's adjusted taxable income is between EUR 60,000 and EUR 105,000, the CIM is calculated with the following formula: CIM = [3,504 – (adjusted taxable income – 60.000) x 0.039]; and
- EUR 750 if the taxpayer's annual income is higher than EUR 105,000.

The CIM is reduced by 50% of the amount of maintenance payments (expenses for maintenance, care, education and vocational training, etc.) from which the child benefits, provided these payments exceed the annual amount of EUR 2,712.

If there are several children and each child receives maintenance payments, the lowest amount of the maintenance payments per child will be considered to determine, if necessary, the reduction of the tax credit. Orphan pensions are not taken into account for the reduction of the CIM.

When the taxpayer has not been subject to tax throughout the year, the maximum amount of the CIM is applied based on the complete months during which the taxpayer was subject to tax. However, the CIM does not depend on the number of children composing the taxpayer's household.

Finally, where the amount of the tax debt is less than the amount of the CIM, the amount of the CIM in excess of the tax debt is to be repaid to the taxpayer. Where there is no tax debt, the amount of the CIM must be returned to the taxpayer in full.

Procedure employees and pensioners subject to withholding tax based on a withholding tax form

The CIM is credited during the tax year by the employer or pension fund to taxpayers who are employees or pensioners and have a withholding tax form. The withholding tax form is marked "CIM" when the resident taxpayer has made a prior request to the tax authorities.

For employees and pensioners who are not subject to taxation by assessment and are therefore not required to file a tax return, the CIM may still be requested as part of the annual adjustment provided for in Article 145, par. 2, e) of the LITL. In this case, the crediting and, where applicable, the refund of the CIM is limited to the part of the CIM that has not been credited by the employer or the pension fund during the tax year.

Taxpayers liable to taxation by assessment and not subject to withholding tax

Taxpayers who are not employees or pensioners (e.g. self-employed workers) may request the CIM through their tax return and will therefore be granted in accordance with the provisions of the Article 154, par. 1, no. 2 of the LITL.

Taxpayers not subject to taxation by assessment nor to withholding tax

This category includes people whose taxable income does not exceed the income bracket exempted by the income tax tariff. However, these people can ask to be taxed by assessment and therefore benefit from the CIM.

Non-résident taxpayers

To benefit from the CIM, non-resident taxpayers must be treated as Luxembourg residents for tax purposes in accordance with the provisions of the Article 157*ter* of the LITL.

What is the CIM?

The Single Parent Tax Credit (CIM) is a measure aimed at unmarried taxpayers classified in tax class 1a who are raising one or more children. This credit reduces the tax burden for single parents, ensuring additional financial support for their households.

Who is entitled to the CIM?

Unmarried taxpayers who:

- Are classified in tax class 1a.
- Raise one or more children in their household for whom they receive a child tax reduction.
- Do not share a common residence with the other parent of the child.

These individuals are eligible for the Single Parent Tax Credit (CIM).

How much is the CIM?

For 2024, the CIM is calculated as follows:
Adjusted taxable income below EUR 60,000 : CIM is EUR 2,505.
Adjusted taxable income between EUR 60,000 and EUR 105,000:
CIM = EUR 2,505− (Adjusted Taxable Income − 60,000) × 0.039
Adjusted taxable income above EUR 105,000: CIM is EUR 750.

For 2025, the CIM amounts were increased:
Adjusted taxable income below EUR 60,000: CIM is EUR 3,504.
Adjusted taxable income between EUR 60,000 and EUR 105,000:
CIM = EUR 3,504 − (Adjusted Taxable Income − 60,000) × 0.0612
Adjusted taxable income above EUR 105,000: CIM is EUR 750.

What adjustments apply for child allowances?

The CIM is reduced by 50% of any child-related allowances exceeding:
2024: EUR 2,424 annually or EUR 202 monthly.
2025: EUR 2,712 annually or EUR 226 monthly.
Rents and family benefits do not count towards these reductions.

How to get the CIM?

Declare your single-parent status on your tax return. The CIM can also be deducted at source by employers or pension funds.

When does the CIM expire?

The CIM expires when the taxpayer is no longer classified as single or does not meet the eligibility criteria.

PILLAR 2 | ADDITIONAL LUXEMBOURG ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE

On 25 March 2025, the Luxembourg accounting board (*Commission des normes comptables*, "**CNC**") issued a third Q&A with respect to Pillar 2 focusing on relevant accounting information to be provided in standalone and consolidated financial statements before and as of the transition year.

Background

Pillar 2 has been implemented in Luxembourg through the law of 22 December 2023 (the "**Pillar 2 Law**") and applies to MNE groups and large scale domestic groups as defined under the Pillar 2 Law for fiscal years starting as from 31 December 2023 (see our previous newsflash for more details on Pillar 2).

On the accounting aspects, the CNC already issued two Q&As (CNC 24/031 and 24/032) in 2024 providing guidance for financial year 2023. The Luxembourg tax authorities also issued guidance on the tax treatment of deferred tax assets ("**DTA**"), deferred tax liabilities ("**DTL**") and transferred assets upon transition also referring back to the CNC Q&A (see our previous newsletter).

Q&A 25/035 applies to (i) financial years preceding the first fiscal year the group falls within the scope of Pillar 2 (the "**Transition Year**") and (ii) financial years starting as from the Transition Year. The Q&A is relevant for Luxembourg entities and groups preparing their stand alone or consolidated financial statements under LuxGAAP or LuxGAAP-JV.

The CNC guidance relies on the updated IAS 12 – "income taxes" issued by the International Accounting Standards Board and also adopted by the EU in order to maintain a level playing field for groups preparing their consolidated financial statements under Lux GAAP or Lux GAAP-JV.

Financial years preceding the transition year

When to include information in the notes to financial statements

- **Pillar 2 application is probable**: the CNC takes the view that that the assessment whether Pillar 2 could apply to the entity or group is under the responsibility of the management which can rely on "internal indicators" (consolidated revenue thresholds of previous years, budget or business plan of the group). When Pillar 2 is likely to apply, information can be included in the financial statements.
- **Pillar 2 application is certain:** When the EUR 750 million revenue threshold is exceeded, the inclusion of relevant information is strongly recommended.

Which information to include in the standalone and consolidated financial statements appendices

- The CNC provides that information known or that can reasonably be established can be included in the notes to the financial statements with the objective to illustrate the entity or group's exposure to Pillar 2. In line with IAS 12, the entity or group can provide qualitive (entities and countries impacted) and quantitative information on Pillar 2 (fraction of profits subject to additional taxation, applicable average effective tax rate, prospective impact) related taxes at the end of the financial year. It is not required to cover all the specifics of Pillar 2 rules and indicative ranges are allowed. When the information is not known or cannot be estimated, the entity or group should indicate this fact with an update on the progress made in the evaluation process.

Reflecting DTA in standalone accounts of Luxembourg companies' part of a Pillar 2 group:

Under the Pillar 2 Law, deferred tax assets and liabilities are taken into consideration as booked or reflected in the financial statements of each constituent entity of a jurisdiction. The question arises for Luxembourg companies' part of a Pillar 2 Group, how to reflect deferred tax assets accumulated at the end of the financial year preceding the transition year as they cannot be accounted for. The CNC provides that a mention in the notes to the standalone financial statements is more granular and provides more traceability than a presentation only in consolidated financial statements, concluding that both presentations are complementary rather than exclusive.

On the legal basis allowing the presentation of deferred tax assets in notes to the standalone financial statements, the CNC provides that such presentation falls within the obligations to present in annexes complementary information enhancing the true and fair view standard set by article 26 (3) of the Luxembourg accounting law.

Accounting for DTA in consolidated financial statements under Lux GAAP and Lux GAAP-JV

The CNC provides that Luxembourg accounting practice and ongoing accounting law reform allow for the accounting of DTA under both regimes when they are highly likely to be recovered in the foreseeable future. Accounting for DTA being optional, Pillar 2 groups have the possibility to present DTA in the notes to these consolidated financial statements in line with the true and fair view standard.

DTA computation

According to the CNC, the aggregate rate of corporate income tax and municipal business tax as known at the end of the financial year should be applied to the gross amount of tax attributes or temporary differences. Recoverability analysis is only required where the DTA is booked in the consolidated financial statements, as only DTA whose recoverability is highly probable can be accounted for.

Financial years starting as from the Transition Year

According to the CNC, as from the Transition Year the above described qualitative and quantitative information are no longer required as their sole purpose is to provide a prospective information on the impact of Pillar 2 and as from the Transition Year such impact should be computed and accounted for.

Information in the notes to the stand alone and consolidated financial statements

The level of information should be guided by general accounting principles and in particular the true and fair view objective (of entity/group's assets, financial positions and result) and the significance of the information (an information is deemed significant when, if omitted or inaccurate, it can reasonably be expected to influence decisions based on the accounts).

The Q&A provides for two illustrative examples where the Luxembourg company or group are within the scope of Pillar 2:

- **Additional taxes resulting the application of Pillar 2 are nil or not significant:** in line with the general accounting principles applicable under Lux GAAP or Lux GAAP-JV and the true and fair view objective, in principle, no additional information is required to meet such objective. Where the management of the company or group considers Pillar 2 related information as relevant for the users of the accounts, they can be included.
- **Additional taxes resulting from Pillar 2 are considered as significant:** based on the above-mentioned principles, additional information should be provided in the accounts. Determining the nature and scope of such information lies with the management of the company/group. In CNC's opinion, such information could take the form of a separate presentation of the tax liability resulting from the application of Pillar 2 Law.

Other items in relation with financial years as from the transition year

- **Accounting for or information on the DTA or DTL in relation to taxes resulting from the Pillar 2 Law:** the CNC adopts the position of the IAS 12, such that no obligations to make such accounting or provide information in that respect even for groups that usually account for DTA/DTL arises. The mandatory application of this exception is being justified by the complexity of the Pillar 2 rules and the exception should be mentioned in the financial statements.
- **Follow-up of DTA in notes to standalone or consolidated financial statements:** in the CNC's opinion, Luxembourg companies or Pillar 2 groups choosing to mention DTA in notes to their standalone or consolidated financial statements should provide a follow-up on the evolution and use of their tax attributes to ensure a granular and traceable information in line with the true and fair view standard.
- **Accounting for DTA in consolidated financial statements prepared under Lux GAAP/Lux GAAP-JV** is possible as long as there is high probability that they will be recoverable in the foreseeable future. Once accounted for, in application of the consistency principle, the accounting for DTA as well as the provision of an explanatory note must be continued annually.
- **Accounting for Pillar 2 tax liabilities under the Luxembourg charter of accounts:** in the absence of dedicated accounts in the Luxembourg standard

chart of accounts, the CNC provides guidance on which accounts to use and how to adapt the current charter of accounts.

POLITICAL AGREEMENT ON DAC9 PROPOSAL | EXCHANGE OF PILLAR 2 INFORMATION RETURNS

On 11 March 2025, the Economic and Financial Affairs Council ("**ECOFIN**") reached a political agreement on the amendment of Directive 2011/16/EU on administrative cooperation in the field of taxation ("**DAC**") to ease Pillar 2 filing obligations and implement the exchange for Pillar 2 information returns within the EU ("**DAC9**"). The EU Commission ("**EC**") finally adopted the proposal on 14 April 2025.

Background

The proposal for DAC9 was introduced on 28 October 2024 by the EC with the key objective of providing for the operational arrangements to allow groups within the scope of Directive 2022/2523 (the "**Pillar 2 Directive**") to make use of the single filing option provided by Article 44 of this Directive (see our previous newsflash) and ensure proper exchange of relevant information to relevant EU Member States.

The standard reporting obligations under the Pillar 2 Directive involves a reporting by each constituent entity with its relevant tax authority while the single filing option involves one filing by the Ultimate Parent Entity ("**UPE**") or a designated constituent entity. However, the use of this option is subject to the existence of a qualifying competent authority agreement that provides for the automatic exchange of annual top-up tax information returns and DAC9 will constitute such agreement within the EU.

The Polish Presidency of the EU treated the DAC9 proposal as a priority and ensured that Member States reached an agreement in March.

Key amendments

The key change aims at ensuring that the Top-up Tax information return standard format as annexed to DAC9, remains aligned with the latest version of the GloBE Information return ("**GIR**"), the reporting format developed by the OECD which is subject to updates.

The standard model annexed to the agreed version has been updated in line with the OECD update of the GIR model on 15 January 2025 (after the release of the DAC9 proposal by the EC).

In order to maintain the EU reporting format in line with the OECD model, the initial proposal provided that, where required, the DAC9 appendix pertaining to the Top-up Tax information return standard form would be updated through delegated acts by the EC which was not approved by all Member States. The consensus version requires the amendments to take place through a Council Directive, thus requiring unanimous approval from EU Member States.

The DAC9 mechanism intends to mirror, within the EU, the Multilateral competent authority agreement on the Exchange of GloBE information ("**MCAA**") developed by the OECD/G20 Inclusive Framework on BEPS and the signature of which would still be required for exchanges with third countries. Recital 16 of the updated DAC9 proposal provides that in implementing DAC9, Member States can use the MCAA together with relevant OECD commentary as a source of interpretation.

Next step

The DAC9 will now be published in the Official Journal of the European Union and the transposal deadline remains set for 31 December 2025.

VAT | FINAL APPROVAL ON VAT IN THE DIGITAL AGE PACKAGE

On 11 March 2025, the VAT in the Digital Age (ViDA) package has been finally adopted by the Council of the European Union and the measures will be turned out progressively until January 2035.

The purpose of this package is to modernize and improve the VAT system in the EU to better align with the digital economy and prevent tax fraud by planning major changes.

The ViDA package is divided into the three following pillars:

- digital reporting requirements and mandatory e-invoicing;
- changes to VAT treatment for the platform economy; and
- simplified single VAT registration.

The various innovations will be introduced gradually with flexible timelines:

- **Immediate entry into force of ViDA package**
  - Ability of Member States to introduce obligatory e-invoicing under certain conditions – possible impact on businesses in Member States introducing e-invoicing (national competence)
  - Improvements to the Import One-Stop-Shop (IOSS) framework to make it more robust by enhancing Member States' controls.
- **With effect as from 1st January 2027**
  - some slight legislative clarifications and alignments impacting those using the One-Stop Shop schemes (OSS and IOSS)
- **With effect as from 1st July 2028**
  - Deemed supplier measure for platforms facilitating the supply of short-term accommodation rental and passenger transport services – impact on platforms facilitating short-term accommodation rental and passenger transport services – however, Member States have the option to delay implementation until 1 January 2030.
  - Single VAT Registration main elements come into effect:
    - extension of the OSS schemes including set of improvements to the processes involving IT investments and new transfer of own goods scheme
    - mandatory reverse charge for non-identified suppliers
- **With effect as from 1st July 2030**
  - Digital Reporting Requirements measures coming into effect – main impact on businesses making cross border B2B supplies.
- **By 1st July 2035**
  - Those Members who had a domestic digital real-time transaction-based reporting obligation before 1 January 2024 shall align their systems with the EU system.

For additional information, please refer to our previous newsletter on this topic, dated on 30 January 2025.

VAT I CJEU JUDGMENT ON JOINT AND SEVERAL LIABILITY OF COMPANY ADMINISTRATORS

On 27 February 2025, the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) handed down a decision on the procedural rights of administrators which may be held jointly and severally liable for the VAT debts of the companies they administer.

As a reminder, many Member States including Luxembourg provide that company administrators or managers may be found jointly and severally liable in their personal capacity for the VAT debts of the companies they administer. Such liability is usually established in separate proceedings, following a final determination of the company's VAT liability.

In the case at hand, Polish law prevented a company administrator that could be held jointly and severally liable for the VAT debts of a company from participating in the tax proceedings brought against the legal person. The national court referred a preliminary question to the CJEU asking whether such limitation was contrary to Article 325(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, the rights of defence and the principle of proportionality.

In its judgment, the CJUE confirmed that Member States have a wide discretion in ensuring the collection of VAT. On the other hand, the CJEU recalled that the right of defence is a general principle of EU law.

In the case at hand, the joint and several liability proceedings did not enable the administration, as a third party to call into question the amount of tax debt and therefore undermined the rights of the defence of the administrator, according to the CJEU. In addition, the Polish administrator was not allowed to participate in the proceedings brought against the company.

On this latter point, the CJEU concluded that this exclusion from the company's proceedings was not precluded under EU law in so far as the administrator can, during his joint and several liability proceedings brought against him, effectively call into question the findings of fact and the legal classification made by the tax authority in the context of the proceedings against the company and has access to the tax administration file.

As a reminder, under Luxembourg law, the collection of VAT from an administrator can occur by the emission of a "*bulletin de garantie*" in accordance with Article 67-1 and following of the law of 12 February 1979 on value added tax dated which enables the Luxembourg VAT administrator to recover the company's VAT debt from the administrator in his personal capacity.

The conclusion of the CJEU seems to be compatible with the approach under Luxembourg law regarding the "*appel en garantie*" in the field of VAT which was recently confirmed by the Luxembourg Court of Appeal (**CA**). In its 2023 decision (CAL-2022-00375), the CA confirmed that an administrator cannot challenge the validity of the VAT assessments issued to a company in the context of his subsequent joint and several liability proceedings (*appel en garantie*) because he could have, as representative of the company at the time of their issuance, challenged the lawfulness of the company's VAT assessment. In other words, if the administrator had the opportunity, indirectly through the company that he represents and controls, to challenge the findings of fact and legal classification made by the VAT authority that subsequently formed the basis of the joint and several liability proceedings, his rights of defence would not be unduly restricted.

It remains that, the CA refused to refer the question to the CJUE for confirmation, and did not explore how an administrator who was not in charge of the company at the time of issuance of the VAT assessments would be entitled to challenge the findings of fact and the legal classification made by the tax authority forming the basis of the proceedings against him.

CONTRIBUTORS

Lejla Bešić, Marc-Alexandre Bieber, Harun Cekici, Nuala Doyle, Gaëlle Felly, Ali Ganfoud, Maria Beatriz Garcia, Deniz Güneş Türktaş, Chloé Kaizer, Evelyn Maher, Djelloul Mansour, Camille Mascolo, Francesca Mastragostino, Pol Mellina, Elma Osmic, Daniel Riedel, Olivier Schank, Laura Simmonds, Fabio Trevisan, Carolina Vasselli

BSP